

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ChapterNo.	TITLE	Page No.
	ABSTRACT	8
	LIST OF FIGURES	vii
1	INTRODUCTION	9
2	LITERATURE SURVEY	10
	2.1. Detection of Phishing URL using Machine Learning	10
	2.2. A Survey of Machine Learning-Based Solutions for Phishing Website Detection	23
	2.3. Detection of Phishing Websites using MachineLearning	44
	2.4 Detecting Phishing Websites Using Machine Learning	52
3	METHODOLOGY	61

	3.1. EXISTING SYSTEM	61
	3.2. PROPOSED SYSTEM	62
	3.3. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE	62
	3.4. WORKING OF DECISION TREE	63
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	76
	4.1. MACHINE LEARNING	
5	CONCLUSION	87
	5.1. CONCLUSION	
6	CONCLUSION	90
	6.1. CONCLUSION	
	REFERENCES	90
	APPENDICES	
	A. SOURCE CODE	92
	B. SCREENSHOTS	95
	C. PLAGIARISM REPORT	97
	D. JOURNAL PAPER	99

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Figure Name	Page No.
3.1	Block Diagram	62
3.2	Flow Diagram	63
3.3	Real Life Analogy	70
3.4	Bagging Parallel & Boosting Sequential	71
3.5	Bagging	72
3.6	Bagging Ensemble Method	73
4.1	Model	80
4.2	Clustering	83
4.3	ML Overview	84
4.4	Training and Testing	85
4.5	Validation Test	85

ABSTARCT:

Phishing websites have proven to be a major security concern. Several cyber attacks risk the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of company and consumer data, and phishing is the beginning point for many of them. Many researchers have spent decades creating unique approaches to automatically detect phishing websites. While cutting-edge solutions can deliver better results, they need a lot of manual feature engineering and aren't good at identifying new phishing attacks. As a result, finding strategies that can automatically detect phishing websites and quickly manage zero-day phishing attempts is an open challenge in this field. The web page in the URL which hosts that contains a wealth of data that can be used to determine the web server's maliciousness. Machine Learning is an effective method for detecting phishing. It also eliminates the disadvantages of the previous method. We conducted a thorough review of the literature and suggested a new method for detecting phishing websites using features extraction and a machine learning algorithm. The goal of this research is to use the dataset collected to train ML models and deep neural nets to anticipate phishing websites.

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION:

Phishing is the most unsafe criminal exercises in cyber space. Since most of the users go online to access the services provided by government and financial institutions, there has been a significant increase in phishing attacks for the past few years. Phishers started to earn money and they are doing this as a successful business. Various methods are used by phishers to attack the vulnerable users such as messaging, VOIP, spoofed link and counterfeit websites. It is very easy to create counterfeit websites, which looks like a genuine website in terms of layout and content. Even, the content of these websites would be identical to their legitimate websites. The reason for creating these websites is to get private data from users like account numbers, login id, passwords of debit and credit card, etc. Moreover, attackers ask security questions to answer to posing as a high level security measure providing to users. When users respond to those questions, they get easily trapped into phishing attacks. Many researches have been going on to prevent phishing attacks by different communities around the world. Phishing attacks can be prevented by detecting the websites and creating awareness to users to identify the phishing websites. Machine learning algorithms have been one of the powerful techniques in detecting phishing websites. In this study, various methods of detecting phishing websites have been discussed.

Chapter 2

Literature review

2.1 Detection of Phishing URL using Machine Learning

Abstract:

Phishing websites have proven to be a major security concern. Several cyberattacks risk the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of company and consumer data, and phishing is the beginning point for many of them. Many researchers have spent decades creating unique approaches to automatically detect phishing websites. While cutting-edge solutions can deliver better results, they need a lot of manual feature engineering and aren't good at identifying new phishing attacks. As a result, finding strategies that can automatically detect phishing websites and quickly manage zero-day phishing attempts is an open challenge in this field. The web page in the URL which hosts that contains a wealth of data that can be used to determine the web server's maliciousness. Machine Learning is an effective method for detecting phishing. It also eliminates the disadvantages of the previous method. We conducted a thorough review of the literature and suggested a new method for detecting phishing websites using features extraction and a machine learning algorithm. The goal of this research is to use the dataset collected to train ML models and deep neural nets to anticipate phishing websites.

INTRODUCTION

Phishing has become the most serious problem, harming individuals, corporations, and even entire countries. The availability of multiple services such as online banking, entertainment, education, software downloading, and social networking has accelerated the Web's evolution in recent years. As a result, a massive amount of data is constantly downloaded and transferred to the Internet. Spoofed e-mails pretending to be from reputable businesses and agencies are used in social engineering techniques to direct consumers to fake websites that deceive users into giving financial information such as usernames and passwords. Technical tricks involve the installation of malicious software on computers to steal credentials directly, with systems frequently used to intercept users' online account usernames and passwords.

A. Types of Phishing Attacks

• **Deceptive Phishing:**

This is the most frequent type of phishing assault, in which a Cyber criminal impersonates a well-known institution, domain, or organization to acquire sensitive personal information from the victim, such as login credentials, passwords, bank account information, credit card information, and so on. Because there is no personalization or customization for the people, this form of attack lacks sophistication.

• **Spear Phishing:** Emails containing malicious URLs in this sort of phishing email contain a lot of personalization information about the potential victim. The recipient's name, company name, designation, friends, co-workers, and other social information may be included in the email.

• **Whale Phishing:** To spear phish a "whale," here a top-level executive such as CEO, this sort of phishing targets corporate leaders such as CEOs and top-level management employees.

• **URL Phishing:** To infect the target, the fraudster or cyber-criminal employs a URL link. People are sociable creatures who will eagerly click the link to accept friend invitations and may even be willing to disclose personal information such as email addresses.

This is because the phishers are redirecting users to a false web server. Secure browser connections are also used by attackers to carry out their unlawful actions. Due to a lack of appropriate tools for combating phishing attacks, firms are unable to train their staff in this area, resulting in an increase in phishing attacks. Companies are educating their staff with mock phishing assaults, updating all their systems with the latest security procedures, and encrypting important Information as broad countermeasures. Browsing without caution is one of the most common ways to become a victim of this phishing assault. The appearance of phishing websites is like that of authentic websites.

Research question:

Are some of the research questions on which this research paper will elaborate.

- Is it possible to extract features from the URL using machine learning techniques?
- How can phishing URLs be detected using a Machine learning approach in terms of efficiency?

The ultimate purpose of this study work is to provide a better understanding of the process of identifying the presence of Phishing attacks using a machine learning technique to identify URL based features like Address Bar, Domain, JavaScript, and HTML based features. The remaining part of the paper is written out as follows. The Section 2 of paper is dedicated to a literature review.

Section 3 outlines the planned research approach, Section 4 presents the experimental data, and Section 5 provides the conclusion.

Literature Review

Many scholars have done some sort of analysis on the statistics of phishing URLs. Our technique incorporates key concepts from past research. We review past work in the detection of phishing sites using URL features, which inspired our current approach. Happy describe phishing as "one of the most dangerous ways for hackers to obtain users' accounts such as usernames, account numbers and passwords, without their awareness." Users are ignorant of this type of trap and will ultimately, they fall into Phishing scam. This could be due to a lack of a combination of financial aid and personal experience, as well as a lack of market awareness or brand trust. In this article, Mehmet et al. suggested a method for phishing detection based on URLs. To compare the results, the researchers utilized eight different algorithms to evaluate the URLs of three separate datasets using various sorts of machine learning methods and hierarchical architectures. The first method evaluates various features of the URL; the second method investigates the website's authenticity by determining where it is hosted and who operates it; and the third method investigates the website's graphic presence. We employ Machine Learning techniques and algorithms to analyse these many properties of URLs and websites. Garera et al. classify phishing URLs using logistic regression over hand-selected variables. The inclusion of red flag keywords in the URL, as well as features based on Google's Web page and Google's Page Rank quality recommendations, are among the features. Without access to the same URLs and features as our approach, it's difficult to conduct a direct comparison. In this research, Yong et al. created a novel approach for detecting phishing websites that focuses on detecting a URL which has been demonstrated to be an accurate and efficient way of detection. To offer you a better idea, our new capsule-based neural network is divided into several parallel components. One method involves removing shallow characteristics from URLs. The other two, on the other hand, construct accurate feature representations of URLs and use shallow features to evaluate URL legitimacy. The final output of our system is calculated by adding the outputs of all divisions. Extensive testing on a dataset collected from the Internet indicate that our system can compete with other cutting-edge detection methods while consuming a fair amount of time. For phishing detection, Vahid Shahrivari et al. used machine learning approaches. They used the logistic regression classification method, KNN,

Adaboost algorithm, SVM, ANN and random forest. They found random forest algorithm provided good accuracy. Dr.G. Ravi Kumar used a variety of machine learning methods to detect phishing assaults. For improved results, they used NLP tools. They were able to achieve high accuracy using a Support Vector Machine and data that had been pre-processed using NLP approaches. Amani Alswailem et al. tried different machine learning model for phishing detection but was able to achieve more accuracy in random forest. Hossein et al. created the “Fresh-Phish” open-source framework. This system can be used to build machine-learning data for phishing websites. They used a smaller feature set and built the query in Python. They create a big, labelled dataset and test several machine-learning classifiers on it. Using machine-learning classifiers, this analysis yields very high accuracy. These studies look at how long it takes to train a model. X. Zhang suggested a phishing detection model based on mining the semantic characteristics of word embedding, semantic feature, and multi-scale statistical features in Chinese web pages to detect phishing performance successfully. To obtain statistical aspects of web pages, eleven features were retrieved and divided into five classes. To obtain statistical aspects of web pages, eleven features were retrieved and divided into five classes. To learn and evaluate the model, AdaBoost, Bagging, Random Forest, and SMO are utilized. The legitimate URLs dataset came from DirectIndustry online guides, and the phishing data came from China's Anti-Phishing Alliance. With novel methodologies, M. Aydin approaches a framework for extracting characteristics that is versatile and straightforward. Phish Tank provides data, and Google provides authentic URLs. C# programming and R programming were utilized to obtain the text attributes. The dataset and third-party service providers yielded a total of 133 features. The feature selection approaches of CFS subset based and Consistency subset-based feature selection were employed and examined with the WEKA tool. The performance of the Naive Bayes and Sequential Minimal Optimization (SMO) algorithms was evaluated, and the author prefers SMO to NB for phishing detection.

Research Methodology

A phishing website is a social engineering technique that imitates legitimate webpages and uniform resource locators (URLs). The Uniform Resource Locator (URL) is the most common way for phishing assaults to occur. Phisher has complete control over the URL's sub-domains. The phisher can alter the URL because it contains file components and directories.

Methodologies

This research used the linear-sequential model, often known as the waterfall model. Although the waterfall approach is considered conventional, it works best in instances where there are few requirements. The application was divided into smaller components that were built using frameworks and hand-written code.

Research Framework:

The steps of this research in which some selected publications were read to determine the research gap and, as a result, the research challenge was defined. Feature selection, classification and phishing website detection were all given significant consideration. It's worth noting that most phishing detection researchers rely on datasets they've created. However, because the datasets utilized were not available online for those who use and check their results, it is difficult to assess and compare the performance of a model with other models. As a result, such results cannot be generalized.

Language

For the preparation of this dissertation, I used Python as the primary language. Python is a language that is heavily focused on machine learning. It includes several machine learning libraries that may be utilized straight from an import. Python is commonly used by developers all around the world to deal with machine learning because of its extensive library of machine learning libraries. Python has a strong community, and as a result, new features are added with each release.

Data Collection

The phishing URLs were gathered using the open source tool Phish Tank. This site provides a set of phishing URLs in a variety of forms, including csv, json, and others, which are updated hourly. This dataset is used to train machine learning models with 5000 random phishing URLs.

Data Cleaning

Fill in missing numbers, smooth out creaking data, detect and delete outliers, and repair anomalies to clean up the data.

Data Pre-processing

Data pre-processing is a cleaning operation that converts unstructured raw data into a neat, well-structured dataset that may be used for further research. Data pre-processing is a cleaning operation that transforms unstructured raw data into well-structured and neat dataset which can be used for further research.

Extraction of Features

In the literature and commercial products, there are numerous algorithms and data formats for phishing URL detection. A phishing URL and its accompanying website have various characteristics that distinguish them from harmful URLs. For example, to mask the true domain name, an attacker can create a long and complicated domain name. Different types of features that are used in machine learning algorithms in the academic study detection process are used. The following is a list of features gathered from academic studies for phishing domain detection using machine learning approaches. Because of some constraints, it may not be logical to use some of the features in specific instances. Using Content-Based Features to construct a quick detection mechanism capable of analyzing a huge number of domains may not be feasible. Page-Based Features are not very effective when analyzing registered domains. As a result, the features that the detection mechanism will use are determined by the detection mechanism's purpose. So, which features should be used in the detecting technique been carefully chosen.

Models and Training

The data is split into 8000 training samples and 2000 testing samples, before the ML model is trained. It is evident from the dataset that this is a supervised machine learning problem.

Classification and regression are the two main types of supervised machine learning issues.

Because the input URL is classed as legitimate or phishing, this data set has a classification problem. The following supervised machine learning models were examined for this project's dataset training:

- Decision Tree
- Multilayer Perceptron